

**Gas infrastructure advice submission – 103**

**Date submitted:** Aug 14, 2021, 4:15 PM

**Name:** [REDACTED]

**Stakeholder group/interest:** [REDACTED]

**Q1. Do you have any further information, evidence, or concerns that you wish to raise in relation to the scenario design and analysis?**

**Q2. Do you have any further information or evidence that can help identify an optimum scenario for a net zero emissions gas sector in 2050?**

**Q3. What policies and/or regulations, if any, are needed to support the development of low carbon pathways such as biogas, green hydrogen, and carbon capture and storage?**

**Q4. What is your view on the best ways to maintain the reliability and affordability of Victoria's gas supply if natural gas use declines?**

**Q5. What else can you tell us about the implications of decarbonisation pathways for the electricity generation, transmission and distribution networks?**

**Q6. How can the use of Victoria's existing gas infrastructure be optimised during the transition to net zero emissions, over the short (10 years), medium (20 years) and long-term (30+ years)? How can the Victorian Government assist in this?**

**Q7. What principles should apply or what measures will be needed to manage the impacts of gas decarbonisation on households and businesses?**

**Q8. What policies, programs and/or regulations should the Victorian Government consider or expand to encourage households, commercial buildings and small businesses to reduce their gas use?**

**Q9. What policies, regulations or other support, if any, do you think are needed to support industrial users to switch from natural gas to lower emissions energy sources or chemical feedstocks?**

I find the listed questions very difficult to answer. By 2050 I will be dead and buried.... Currently we are cooking and heating with gas. To change to an all electrical household would mean, new stove for cooking and new pots and pans. Our reverse cycle air conditioner would wear out a lot faster when used continuously and they cost a lot of money to replace. It would however be a good idea to charge customers on the basis, the more you use the more it costs per unit, and not the other way around. Last not least, a lot should be done to make sure neighbour's tall trees can not overshadow your solar panels(20m+). What is the point of subsidising a solar installation with public money, when nothing is being done to protect it. TALL trees are a danger to the public and should be removed, before they cause damage or even KILL people.

**How would you like your submission treated?**

Published, but my name removed